arXiv:1512.02847v1 [math.RT] 9 Dec 2015

Cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ acting on the space of n-ary differential operators on $\mathbb{R}$

Mabrouk Ben Ammar Maha Boujelben Rabeb Sidaoui *

December 10, 2015

Abstract

We compute the cohomological space $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}\left(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}\right)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the space of multilinear differential operators from $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ to $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. The structure of these spaces was conjectured in [M. Ben Ammar et al. in International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics Vol. 9, No. 4 (2012) 1250033 (15 pages).]

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 53D55
Key words : Cohomology, Weighted Densities.

1 Introduction

The space of weighted densities of weight μ on $\mathbb{R}$ (or μ-densities for short), denoted by:

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu = \{f dx^\mu,\ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

is the space of sections of the line bundle $(T^*\mathbb{R})^{\otimes^\mu}$. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ of vector fields $X_h = h\frac{d}{dx}$, where $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, acts on $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ by the *Lie derivative* L^μ. Alternatively, this action can be written as follows:

$$X_h \cdot (f dx^\mu) = L^\mu_{X_h}(f dx^\mu) := (hf' + \mu h' f) dx^\mu, \tag{1.1}$$

where f', h' are $\frac{df}{dx}$, $\frac{dh}{dx}$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ the space of multilinear differential operators A from $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ to $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the space $\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ of these differential operators by:

$$X_h \cdot A = L^\mu_{X_h} \circ A - A \circ L^\lambda_{X_h} \tag{1.2}$$

where $L^\lambda_{X_h}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ defined by the Leibnitz rule. If we restrict ourselves to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ which is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ spanned by

$$\{X_1,\ X_x,\ X_{x^2}\},$$

*Université de Sfax, Faculté des Sciences, Département de Mathématiques, Laboratoire d'Algèbre, Géométrie et Théorie Spectrale (AGTS) LR11ES53, BP 802, 3038 Sfax, Tunisie. E.mail: mabrouk.benammar@fss.rnu.tn

we have a family of infinite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-modules still denoted by $\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$.

According to Nijenhuis-Richardson [8], the space $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{End}(V))$ classifies the infinitesimal deformations of a $\mathfrak{g}$-module V and the obstructions to integrability of a given infinitesimal deformation of V are elements of $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{End}(V))$. While the spaces $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{g};L(\otimes_s^n V,V))$ appear naturally in the problem of normalization of nonlinear representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ in V. To be more precise, let

$$T:\mathfrak{g}\to\sum_{n\geq 0}L(\otimes_s^n V,V),\ X\mapsto T_X=\sum T_X^n,$$

be a nonlinear representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in V, that is, $T_{[X,Y]}=[T_X,T_Y]$. In [1], it is proved that the representation T is normalized if T_X^n is in a supplementary of $\mathrm{B}^1(\mathfrak{g};L(\otimes_s^n V,V))$ in $\mathrm{Z}^1(\mathfrak{g};L(\otimes_s^n V,V))$.

In fact if A is a differential operator on the line, A can be viewed as an homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ to $\mathcal{F}_\mu$. If A is with order n, we can define its symbol as an element in $\mathcal{S}_\beta^n=\bigoplus_{j=0}^n\mathcal{F}_{\beta-j}$ for $\beta=\tau-\lambda$. If n goes to $+\infty$, the space $\mathcal{S}_\beta=\bigoplus_{j\geq 0}\mathcal{F}_{\beta-j}$ appears as the space symbols for all differential operators. The space $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2);L(\otimes_s^n\mathcal{S}_\beta,\mathcal{S}_\beta))$ can be decomposed as a sum of some spaces $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2),\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ with $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, for $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^n$, the knowledge of the spaces $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2),\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ is useful to compute the terms T^n of a normalized nonlinear representation T of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ in $\mathcal{S}_\beta$.

For $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ the spaces $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2),\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ are computed by Gargoubi [6] and Lecomte [7], and for $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^2$ the spaces $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2),\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ are computed by Bouarroudj [4], while we are interested in this paper to generalize this study to $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^n$ solving a conjecture given by Ben Ammar et al in [3].

2 The space $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2),\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$

2.1 Cohomology

We will compute the first cohomology space of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ with coefficients in $\mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ where $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu\in\mathbb{R}$. Let us first recall some fundamental concepts from cohomology theory (see, e.g., [5]). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra acting on a space V and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. (If $\mathfrak{h}$ is omitted it assumed to be $\{0\}$.) The space of $\mathfrak{h}$-relative n-cochains of $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V is the $\mathfrak{g}$-module

$$C^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V):=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h});V).$$

The *coboundary operator* $\partial^n:C^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)\longrightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-map satisfying $\partial^n\circ\partial^{n-1}=0$. The kernel of ∂^n, denoted $Z^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)$, is the space of $\mathfrak{h}$-relative *n-cocycles*, among them, the elements in the range of ∂^{n-1} are called $\mathfrak{h}$-relative *n-coboundaries*. We denote $B^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)$ the space of n-coboundaries.

By definition, the n^{th} $\mathfrak{h}$-relative cohomology space is the quotient space

$$\mathrm{H}^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)=Z^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)/B^n(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V).$$

We will only need the formula of ∂^n (which will be simply denoted ∂) in degrees 0 and 1. For $v\in C^0(\mathfrak{g},\ \mathfrak{h};V)=V^{\mathfrak{h}}$,

$$\partial v(g):=g\cdot v,$$

where $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant elements of V. For $\Upsilon\in C^1(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h};V)$ and $g,\ h\in\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\partial(\Upsilon)(g,\ h):=g\cdot\Upsilon(h)-h\cdot\Upsilon(g)-\Upsilon([g,\ h]).$$

Here we consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{aff}(1)$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ spanned by $\frac{d}{dx}$ and $x\frac{d}{dx}$ and we consider $V = D_{\lambda,\mu}$. We compute $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2); D_{\lambda,\mu})$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{aff}(1); D_{\lambda,\mu})$.

2.2 The spaces $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{aff}(1); D_{\lambda,\mu})$

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider $\delta = \mu - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ and $|\alpha| = \sum \alpha_i$. For $F = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$, we define $F^{(\alpha)} := f_1^{(\alpha_1)} \ldots f_n^{(\alpha_n)}$. We also define

$$\alpha^i = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i + 1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \quad \textit{and} \quad \alpha^{-i} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i - 1, \ldots, \alpha_n).$$

Recall that the space $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-module:

$$X_h \cdot F := L^{\lambda}_{X_h}(F) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{\lambda_i}_{X_h}(f_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n.$$

The following lemma gives the general form of any 1-cocycle.

Lemma 2.1. *Up to a coboundary, any 1-cocycle $c \in \mathrm{Z}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ can be expressed as follows. For all $F = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ and for all $X_h \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$:*

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{\alpha} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha} C_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where B_α and C_α are constants satisfying:

$$2(\delta - |\alpha| - 1)C_\alpha + \sum_i (\alpha_i + 1)(\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i)B_{\alpha^i} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Any 1-cocycle on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ should retains the following general form:

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{\alpha} U_\alpha h F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha} V_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha} W_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha)},$$

where U_α, V_α and W_α are, a priori, functions. First, we prove that the terms in h can be annihilated by adding a coboundary. Let $b : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n} \to \mathcal{F}_\mu$ be a multilinear differential operator defined by

$$b(F) = \sum_{\alpha} D_\alpha F^{(\alpha)},$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial b(X_h, F) &= h(b(F))' + \mu h' b(F) - b(X_h \cdot F) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} D'_\alpha h F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha} (\delta - |\alpha|) D_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} \\ &- \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i - 1) D_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha^{-i})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Note that the terms in $F^{(\alpha^{-i})}$ do not appear for $\alpha_i = 0$.

Thus, if $D'_\alpha = U_\alpha$ then $c - \partial b$ does not contain terms in h. So, we can replace c by $c - \partial b$. That is, up to a coboundary, any 1-cocycle on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{\alpha} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha} C_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha)},$$

Now, consider the 1-cocycle condition:

$$c([X_{h_1}, X_{h_2}], F) - X_{h_1} \cdot c(X_{h_2}, F) + X_{h_2} \cdot c(X_{h_1}, F) = 0,$$

where X_{h_1}, $X_{h_2} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$. That is,

$$\sum_\alpha B'_\alpha (h_1 h'_2 - h'_1 h_2) F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_\alpha C'_\alpha (h_1 h''_2 - h''_1 h_2) F^{(\alpha)}$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha \left(2(\delta - |\alpha| - 1) C_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i + 1)(\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i) B_{\alpha^i} \right) (h'_1 h''_2 - h''_1 h'_2) F^{(\alpha)} = 0.$$

So, for all α, we have $B'_\alpha = C'_\alpha = 0$ and

$$2(\delta - |\alpha| - 1) C_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i + 1)(\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i) B_{\alpha^i} = 0.$$

□

Theorem 2.2. *1) If $\delta \notin \mathbb{N}$ then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = 0$.*

2) If $\delta = k \in \mathbb{N}$ then, up to a coboundary, any 1-cocycle $c \in \mathrm{Z}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ can be expressed as follows. For all $F = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ and for all $X_h \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$:

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} C_\beta h'' F^{(\alpha)}, \tag{2.4}$$

where, for any α with $|\alpha| = k-1$, the B_{α^i} are constants satisfying:

$$\sum_i (\alpha_i + 1)(\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i) B_{\alpha^i} = 0.$$

3) If the B_α are not all zero then the cocycles (2.4) *are nontrivial.*

Proof. 1) Indeed, according to Lemma 2.1, we can easily show the 1-cocycle c defined by (2.1) is nothing but the operator ∂b where

$$b(F) = \sum_\alpha \frac{B_\alpha}{\delta - |\alpha|} F^{(\alpha)},$$

2) Consider the 1-cocycle c defined by (2.1) and consider the operator ∂b where

$$b(F) = \sum_{|\alpha| \neq k} \frac{1}{k - |\alpha|} B_\alpha F^{(\alpha)}.$$

We have

$$\partial b(X_h, F) \;=\; \sum_{|\alpha| \neq k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| \neq k} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i - 1)}{k - |\alpha|} B_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha^{-i})}.$$

Let $\beta = \alpha^{-i}$, so, we have

$$\alpha = \beta^i, \quad |\beta| \neq k-1, \quad \beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = \alpha_i - 1.$$

Therefore

$$\partial b(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|\neq k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\beta|\neq k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\beta_i+1)(\beta_i+2\lambda_i)}{k-|\beta|-1} B_{\beta^i} h'' F^{(\beta)}.$$

According to (2.2) we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\beta_i+1)(\beta_i+2\lambda_i)}{k-|\beta|-1} B_{\beta^i} = C_\beta$$

Thus,

$$\partial b(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|\neq k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{|\alpha|\neq k-1} C_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha)}$$

and then

$$(c - \partial b)(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|= k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{|\alpha|= k-1} C_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha)}.$$

In this case (2.2) becomes:

$$\sum_i (\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+2\lambda_i) B_{\alpha^i} = 0.$$

3) In fact, for $\delta = \mu - |\lambda| = |\alpha| = k$ there are no terms in $h'F^{(\alpha)}$ in the expression of $\partial b(X_h, F)$ for any $b \in \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ (see (2.3)).

□

Now, we prove that, generically, we can annihilate the term in h'' in the expression of the 1-cocycle (2.4) by adding a coboundary.

Theorem 2.3. *If $\delta = k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $-2\lambda \notin \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^n$ then, any 1-cocycle $c \in \mathrm{Z}^1_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ can be expressed as follows. For all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ and for all $X_h \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$:*

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)},$$

where, for $|\alpha| = k-1$, the B_{α^i} are constants satisfying:

$$\sum_i (\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+2\lambda_i) B_{\alpha^i} = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, for $\delta = k \in \mathbb{N}$, any 1-cocycle c can be expressed as follows:

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} C_\beta h'' F^{(\beta)}.$$

Let $b : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n} \to \mathcal{F}_\mu$ be a multilinear differential operator defined by

$$b(F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} D_\alpha F^{(\alpha)}.$$

We have

$$\partial b(X_h, F) = -\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(\alpha_i+2\lambda_i-1) D_\alpha h'' F^{(\alpha^{-i})}.$$

Let $\beta = \alpha^{-i}$, so, we have

$$\alpha = \beta^i, \quad |\beta| = k-1, \quad \beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = \alpha_i - 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\partial b(X_h, F) \;=\; -\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i + 1)(\beta_i + 2\lambda_i) D_{\beta^i} h'' F^{(\beta)}.$$

Consider the linear system

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i + 1)(\beta_i + 2\lambda_i) D_{\beta^i} = C_\beta, \quad |\beta| = k-1. \tag{2.6}$$

The number N_k of unknowns D_{β^i} is the cardinal of the set $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| = k\}$ and the number of equations is the cardinal of the set $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| = k-1\}$. We prove recurrently that $N_k = \binom{n+k-1}{k}$.

We consider the lexicographic order and we denote by $a_1 > \cdots > a_{N_{k-1}}$ the elements of the set $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| = k-1\}$. So, we choose an order on the unknowns D_{β_i} such that the first are those indexed by $a_1^1, \ldots, a_{N_{k-1}}^1$.

Thus, the matrix of the system (2.6) has the following form:

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1^{k-1} & \Lambda_2^0 & \Lambda_3^0 & \ldots & \Lambda_n^0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \Lambda_1^{k-2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \Lambda_2^1 & \Lambda_3^0 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda_1^{k-2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \Lambda_2^0 & 0 & \ldots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_1^j & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\Lambda_i^j = (j+1)(j+2\lambda_i)$, $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $-2\lambda_1 \notin \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ then Λ_1^j does never vanish, therefore obviously the system (2.6) is of rank N_{k-1}. Thus, we can choose the operator b such that $(c + \partial b)(X_h, F)$ does not contain terms in h''.

For $n = 2$, we have:

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1^{k-1} & \Lambda_2^0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_1^{k-2} & \Lambda_2^1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \Lambda_1^0 & \Lambda_2^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *If $\delta = k \geq 1$ and $-2\lambda \notin \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^n$ then, we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = \binom{n+k-2}{k}.$$

These spaces are spanned by the cocycles:

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)},$$

where, for $|\alpha| = k-1$, *the* B_{α^i} *are constants satisfying:*

$$\sum_i (\alpha_i + 1)(\alpha_i + 2\lambda_i) B_{\alpha^i} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

For $\delta = 0$ *the space* $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ *is one dimensional spanned by the 1-cocycle* C_0 *defined by*

$$C_0(X_h, f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = h' f_1 \cdots f_n.$$

Remark 2.1. *For* $n = 1$ *and for* $n = 2$ *we refined the results of Lecomte and Bouarroudj (see [7], [4]).*

Proof. According to Theorem 2.3 the space $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ is isomorphic to the space of solutions of the system of linear equations (2.5). The linear system (2.5) has $\binom{n+k-2}{k-1}$ equations with $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$ unknowns B_{α^i}. As in Theorem 2.3, we see that this system is with maximal rank, so, we have

$$\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} - \binom{n+k-2}{k-1} = \binom{n+k-2}{k}.$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *If* $\delta = k \geq 0$ *and* $-2\lambda \notin \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^n$ *then, we have*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{aff}(1); \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = 0.$$

In fact, in this case, there are no nontrivial 1-cocycles vanishing on $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$.

Theorem 2.5. *If* $\delta = k$ *and* $-2\lambda \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^n$ *then*

$$\binom{n+k-2}{k} \leq \mathrm{dim}\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) \leq \binom{n+k-2}{k} + 2\binom{n+k-r-3}{k-r-1}$$

where $r = \max(-2\lambda_i)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $r = -2\lambda_1$, then $\Lambda_1^r = 0$ and Λ_1^r appears $\binom{n+k-r-3}{k-r-1}$ times in the matrix Λ defined by (2.7). So,

$$N_{k-1} - \binom{n+k-r-3}{k-r-1} \leq \mathrm{rank}(\Lambda) \leq N_{k-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Obviously the number $\binom{n+k-r-3}{k-r-1}$ is minimal if r is maximal.

Now, any nontrivial cocycle

$$c(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} C_\beta h'' F^{(\beta)}$$

can be decomposed into two cocycles

$$c_1(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha h' F^{(\alpha)} \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$c_2(X_h, F) = \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} C_\beta h'' F^{(\beta)}, \tag{2.12}$$

indeed, the coefficients B_α are independent of the coefficients C_β (see (2.2)). The space of nontrivial cocycles (2.11) is generated by the system of linear equations (2.5), so, it is with dimension $N_k - \mathrm{rank}(\Lambda)$. The space of nontrivial cocycles (2.12) is managed by the system of linear equations (2.6), so, it is with dimension $N_{k-1} - \mathrm{rank}(\Lambda)$.

Thus,

$$\mathrm{dim H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = N_k + N_{k-1} - 2\mathrm{rank}(\Lambda).$$

We conclude by using (2.10). □

Obviously, the nontrivial cocycles (2.12) managed by the system of linear equations (2.6) are $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$-invariant vanishing on $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$, so, we have:

Theorem 2.6.

$$\mathrm{dim H}^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{aff}(1); \mathrm{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = N_{k-1} - \mathrm{rank}(\Lambda).$$

These spaces are generated by the cocycles (2.12).

References

[1] Arnal D, Ben Ammar M and Selmi M, Normalisation d'une représentation non linéaire d'une algèbre de Lie, *Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse, 5^e série, tome 9, n^{o}3, (1988), p 355–579.*

[2] Basdouri I, Ben Ammar M, Cohomology of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ acting on linear differential operators on the supercircle $S^{1|1}$. Letters in Mathematical Physics (2007) 81:239–251.

[3] Ben Ammar M, Jabeur A, Safi I, cohomology of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ acting on the space of bilinear differential operators on the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics Vol. 9, No. 4 (2012) 1250033 (15 pages)

[4] Bouarroudj S, *Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebras on $\mathbb{RP}^1$ acting on bilinear differential operators*, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics (2005), **2**; N 1, 23-40.

[5] Fuchs D B, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Plenum Publ. New York, 1986.

[6] Gargoubi H, *Sur la géométrie de l'espace des opérateurs différentiels linéaires sur* $\mathbb{R}$, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège. Vol. 69, 1, 2000, 2147.

[7] Lecomte B P A, *On the cohomology of* $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1;\mathbb{R})$ *acting on differential operators and* $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1;\mathbb{R})$*-equivariant symbols,* Indag. Math. NS. 11 (1), (2000), 95 114.

[8] A. Nijenhuis, R.W. Richardson, *Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1966), 1-29.